8 49



51 52

Top 100 Largest Metros

AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Buffalo-Niagara

Metro Population: 1.13 Million

1 of only 3 losing population

Lowest average home price

Lowest average rental rate

AMONG OUR PEERS

Least burdensome rental market as % of income

Most affordable market to buy a home relative to income

Average rent: \$733/month

Second fastest declining since 2010

Number of peer metros using INCLUSIONARY ZONING in their principal city? = ZERO

45	Richmond, VA	• 1.28м
46	New Orleans, LA	• 1.27м
47	Hartford, CT	• 1.21м
48	Salt Lake City, UT	• 1.19м
49	Birmingham, AL	• 1.14м
50	BUFFALO	• 1.13м
51	Rochester, NY	• 1.08м
52	Grand Rapids, MI	• 1.05м
53	Tucson, AZ	• 1.02м
54	Honolulu, HI	• 993к
55	Tulsa, OK	• 987ĸ



Among Our Peers

The Buffalo Niagara region ranks as the 50th largest metropolitan area in the United States. Regions ranking closely above and below Buffalo Niagara are peer metros that give context to the performance of our region and offer opportunities to learn from their best practices. These metros offer relevant examples of similar sized economies, facing many of the same challenges of mid-sized U.S. metros. Looking to these peers should be the first step in evaluating the effectiveness of new initiatives, tools, and policy to grow opportunities, locally.

Rochester Salt Lake City Richmond **Tulsa Birmingham** We are not... **Tucson** Chicago • 9.5M Honolulu **New Orleans** Pittsburgh • 2.3M Cleveland • 2.1M

RANKING	METRO	DODI II ATIONI*	GROWTH	MEDIAN * INCOME***	OWNER OCCUPIED HOMES		RENTAL HOMES		PRINCIPLE CITY INCLUSIONARY
			SINCE 2010*		MEDIAN PRICE**	PRICE VS. INCOME	AVERAGE RENT***	% OF INCOME	ZONING?***
45	Richmond, VA	1,281,708	6.09%	\$60,713	\$234,600	3.9x	\$1,019	20.1%	No
46	New Orleans, LA	1,268,883	6.64%	\$48,343	\$186,400	3.9x	\$920	22.8%	No
47	Hartford, CT	1,206,836	-0.46%	\$72,275	\$208,100	2.9x	\$1,039	17.3%	No
48	Salt Lake City, UT	1,186,187	9.04%	\$65,792	\$284,000	4.3x	\$964	17.6%	No
49	Birmingham, AL	1,147,417	1.72%	\$51,459	\$183,000	3.6x	\$809	18.9%	No
50	Buffalo	1,132,804	-0.24%	\$51,772	\$125,900	2.4x	\$733	17.0%	No
51	Rochester, NY	1,078,879	-0.07%	\$53,667	\$128,500	2.4x	\$833	18.6%	No
52	Grand Rapids, MI	1,047,099	5.88%	\$55,459	\$164,100	3.0x	\$794	17.2%	No
53	Tucson, AZ	1,016,206	3.67%	\$47,099	\$202,700	4.3x	\$824	21.0%	No
54	Honolulu, HI	992,605	4.13%	\$72,273	\$746,000	10.3x	\$1,638	27.2%	No
55	Tulsa, OK	987,201	5.30%	\$51,352	\$151,400	2.9x	\$791	18.5%	No

^{*}Census Bureau, 2016 MSA Estimates



^{**}National Association of Realtors 2017 Q1 Median Sales for Single Family Homes

^{***}US Census Bureau, 2015 American Community Survey

^{****}Buffalo Niagara Partnership telephone survey of peer city governments